

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Parent and Trademark Office
Address: OM Most loner FOR PATENTS
PO. Mos 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,237	02/20/2001	Werner Blumenstock	Q63062	4413
7	590 11/14/2005	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, 1	MION, ZINN, MACF	BOUTAH, ALINA A		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		2143	•
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		Application No.			
		09/785,237	BLUMENSTOCK, WERNER		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alina N Boutah	2143		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C	October 2005.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	and the second s				
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 and 26-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 and 26-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers				
10) 	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2001 is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 per No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail S) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed October 20, 2005.

Claims 1-11 and 26-32 are pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-11 and 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,715,393 issued to Naugle in view of US 2003/0120775 by York.

(Amended) Regarding claim 1, Naugle teaches a system operable to generate a message related to a control unit of an automation system (figure 2: combination of monitor computer and target computer), the system comprising:

a data transmission system (figure 1: network 37) in communication with the control unit (figure 2: monitor computer 11) and in further communication with a receiving device (figure 2: target computer 12),

wherein the message is an e-mail message generated in response to an operation of the automation system and the data transmission system is an Intranet and/or the Internet and the control unit comprises means for generating the message for a specific

Art Unit: 2143

receiving device addressable with a pre-defined address and wherein further the message has an address field to identify a recipient of the corresponding message, and the receiving device has means to receive the message sent by the control unit and automatically respond to the message (figure 2; abstract; example of status email message on col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 22).

However, Naugle does not explicitly teach wherein the control unit monitors the automation system and in response to a fault detected in the automation system, generates the e-mail message.

York teaches a management console monitoring network device such as an SNMP manageable devices (figure 1), and in response to a fault detection, generates an email message to a user (abstract; figure 2: 370, paragraph 0020). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teaching of York in combination with the teaching of Naugle in order to facilitate the network management, thus making the network more robust.

Regarding claim 2, Naugle teaches the system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the message has an identification field for inserting a message identification that is individually assigned to each message and the control unit comprises means to receive an acknowledgment returned by the receiving device which is intended for the control unit, said acknowledgment comprising the identification associated with the message as an acknowledgment identification, and the control unit further comprising means to compare the acknowledgment identification contained in acknowledgment with the message

Art Unit: 2143

identification contained in the transmitted message (example of status email message on col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 22).

Regarding claim 3, Naugle teaches a system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the control unit further comprises means for marking the message as acknowledged if the means to compare determines that the control unit has received an acknowledgment with the message identification assigned to the associated transmitted message (example of status email message on col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 22).

Regarding claim 4, Naugle teaches a system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the control unit is a stored-program control unit (abstract).

Regarding claim 5, Naugle teaches a control unit of an automation system comprising a transmitting device operable to generate and transmit an alarm or fault message of the automation system, via a data transmission system, to a receiving device capable of being linked to said data transmission system, wherein the transmitting device comprises means to generate the message as an e-mail message directed through the data transmission system embodied as an Intranet and/or the Internet, wherein the message comprises an address field to identify a recipient of the corresponding message (figure 2; abstract; col. 1, lines 35-50; example of status email message on col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 22).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 6, Naugle teaches a control unit as claimed in claim 5, wherein said control unit is a stored-program control unit (abstract).

Regarding claim 7, Naugle teaches a control unit as claimed in claim 5, wherein the message comprises an identification field for a message identification individually assigned to each message, the control unit further comprising; means to receive an acknowledgment returned by the receiving device to the control unit, said acknowledgment comprising the identification associated with the underlying message as the acknowledgment identification, and means to compare the identification contained in the acknowledgment with the identification contained in the transmitted message (example of status email message on col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 22).

Regarding claim 8, Naugle teaches a method for producing a message of a control unit of an automation system, the method comprising: sending the message via a data system to a receiving device capable of being linked to the data system, wherein the message is an e-mail message transmitted via an Intranet and/or the Internet to a predetermined receiving device, and wherein the e-mail message is generated in response to an operation of the automation system (figure 2: 18-20).

Regarding claim 9, Naugle teaches the method as claimed in claim 8, wherein the control unit enters a message identification individually assigned to each message into an identification field of the message and the receiving device, after receipt of a message, automatically generates and returns an acknowledgment to the control unit, wherein said

Art Unit: 2143

acknowledgment contains the identification associated with the underlying message as the acknowledgment identification, and the control unit compares the acknowledgment identification contained in the acknowledgment with the message identification contained in the transmitted message (example of status email message on col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 22).

Regarding claim 10, Naugle teaches the method as claimed in claim 7, wherein receipt of a message is confirmed in the control unit if the control unit received an acknowledgment with the message identification assigned to the associated message (example of status email message on col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 22).

Regarding claim 11, Naugle teaches the method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the method is used to generate a fault and/or alarm message of a stored-program control unit, a numerical control unit and/or a robot control unit in connection with an automation system (col. 1, lines 35-50).

Regarding claim 27, Naugle teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the acknowledge message provides the control unit with instructions to execute a predetermined action in response to the detected fault (col. 5, lines 23-53).

Regarding claim 28, Naugle teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the response to the message comprises control commands in a programming language (col. 2, lines 41-57).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 29, Naugle teaches the system according to claim 28, wherein the control commands are automatically executed by the control unit (claim 3).

Regarding claim 30, Naugle teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the control unit receives the response from the receiving device, the status of the e-mail message is automatically changed to acknowledged enabling management of the e-mail message (figure 2: 18-20).

Regarding claim 31, Naugle teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the e-mail message is an alarm message generated in response to the operation of the automation system when the control unit detects at least one of a fault occurring in the automation system and an attainment of a predetermined threshold to the operation of the automation system (col. 1, lines 29-45).

Regarding claim 32, Naugle teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the receiving device automatically responds to the message by sending the control unit a reply message (figure 2: 18-20).

Response to Arguments

The finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn. However, a new ground(s) of rejection has been made.

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment dated May 9, 2005 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N. Boutah whose telephone number is 571-272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00 am - 5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

יייא ANB